PROGRAM REVIEW STEERING COMMITTEE Non-Instructional *Comprehensive* Evaluation Guide

Department/Program:	
Author(s):	

Directions for PRSC Team: For each component of the program review, please rate the response as one of the following: Initial, Evolving, Established. All areas marked as initial or evolving need further clarification in the comments section.

SECTIONS	SUBCRITERIA	Initial	Evolving	Established	Comments
Section I and II	Program review team represents collaborative effort. (I.2)	 no evidence of broad participation in the development of program review 	□ some evidence of participation other than lead author	substantial evidence of participation other than the lead author	
Service Area Overview & Update, Reflection and Description	Mission statement (II.1)	□ no mission statement	mission statement is not clear, concise or descriptive	□ clear, concise and descriptive mission statement is provided	
I.1-II.3	Service area mission supports the College Mission. (II.2)	no discussion of how the service area mission supports the College Mission		detailed discussion of how the service area mission supports the College Mission	
	Catalog description is updated and accurate. (II.3)	description has not been reviewed within the last year	□ N/A	description has been reviewed within the last year	

Section III	(11.2.2)	□ no or some courses have been reviewed in the last five years	□ N/A	□ all courses have been reviewed in the last five years
	Analysis of SLO findings, including successes, challenges and any changes made as a result. (III.5-6)	□ no analysis of SLO findings	□ limited analysis of SLO findings	□ thorough analysis of SLO findings
credit courses only)				
11.1-111.15	Discussion of department/ discipline course success rates across past 5 years. (III.7-8)	no discussion or report of course success rates	□ limited discussion or report of course success rates	thorough discussion and report course success rates

Section III (Cont'd)	Discussion of any equity gaps or factors (internal or external) that may affect the department/ discipline course success rate. (III.9-10)	no discussion of equity gaps or factors that may affect department/ discipline course success rates	□ limited discussion of equity gaps or factors that may affect department/ discipline course success rates	□ thorough discussion of equity gaps or factors that may affect department/ discipline course success rates
	Discussion of specific steps the department or discipline will take to address equity gaps and the impact of those steps on the comprehensive program review goals. (III.11-12)	did not identify steps to improve services and/or address equity gaps and potential impact on goals	identified general steps to improve services and/or address equity gaps and potential impact on goals	 identified specific steps provided that the service area will take to address equity gaps and potential impact on goals
	Discussion of data to explain differences between online and face-to-face student success rates. (III.13-14)	□ no discussion of differences between online and face-to- face student success rates; no discussion of what the program will do to address disparities.	□ limited discussion of differences between online and face-to-face student success rates; limited discussion of what the program will do to address disparities.	☐ thorough discussion of differences between online and face-to-face student success rates; thorough discussion of what the program will do to address disparities.
	Discussion of mechanisms (e.g., processes, methods, models, practices) in place to ensure regular and effective contact within online courses (III.15)	no discussion on how the service area will ensure regular and effective contact within online courses	☐ limited discussion on how the service area will ensure regular and effective contact within online courses	□ thorough discussion on how the service area will ensure regular and effective contact within online courses

Section IV	Degrees and certificates data for	no report on number of	only report on number of	report on number of
	the past five years was reported.	degrees/certificates awarded;	degrees/certificates awarded OR	degrees/certificates awarded;
	(IV.2-3)	no indication of	indication of complete	indication of complete
		degree/certificate review in past	degree/certificate review in past	degree/certificate review in past
		a .	five years (not both)	five years
Degree & Certificate Programs				
IV.1-IV.9	Degrees and certificates are	no discussion of how	limited discussion of how	thorough discussion of how
	meeting the needs of students	degrees and certificates are	degrees and certificates are	degrees and certificates are
	and/or articulation with four year	meeting the needs of students	meeting the needs of students	meeting the needs of students
	institutions. (IV.4-6)	and/or articulation	and/or articulation, with some	and/or articulation, with thorough
			discussion of potential or	discussion of potential or
			necessary changes	necessary changes
	Currently assessing PLO's within	not currently assessing PLOs	□ not currently assessing PLOs	□ plan to assess PLOs in place
	the 4-year cycle. (IV.7)	and no plan to assess	but plan to assess PLOs in place	and PLOs are being assessed
		Į	ł	ł
	PLO's in the catalog are an	PLOs in catalog are not	N/A	□ PLOs in catalog are an accurate
	accurate reflection of the	accurate reflection of		reflection of department/discipline
	department or discipline's current	department/discipline learning		learning objectives
	learning objectives. (IV.8)	objectives		

Section IV Cont'd	PLO's are mapped to course SLO's. (IV.9)	PLOs not mapped to course SLOs	N/A	PLOs are mapped to course SLOs
	Description of student population served and how program addresses student needs. (V.1-2)	□ no discussion of service area's student population or how the service area ensures it is meeting student needs	□ limited discussion of service area's student population or how the service area ensures it is meeting student needs	□ thorough discussion of service area's student population or how the service area ensures it is meeting student needs
Student Service Area Assessment & Data Analysis	Discussion on access, success and/or other data relevant to service area. (V.3)	no discussion of data or reports relevant to the service area	 limited discussion of data or reports relevant to the service area 	□ thorough discussion of data or reports relevant to the service area
V.1-V.6 (Yes. For service areas that provide direct service to students)	Discussion of steps your service area is taking to advance the college's student success and equity goals. (V.4)	□ did not identify steps to advance the College's student access, success or equity goals	□ identified general steps to advance the College's student access, success or equity goals	□ identified specific steps to advance the College's student access, success or equity goals
	SLOs/SSOs are being assessed. (IV.5-6)	not currently assessing SLOs/SSOs and no plan in place	□ plan to assess SLOs/SSOs in place but not yet assessed	□ SLOs/SSOs are in place and being assessed

Section VI	Discussion of ways the service area works to advance the college's student success and equity goals. (VI.1)	no discussion of ways to advance the College's student access, success or equity goals	□ limited discussion of ways to advance the College's student access, success or equity goals	□ thorough discussion of ways to advance the College's student access, success or equity goals
Service Area Assessment & Institutional Effectiveness VI.1-VI.6	Discussion of research or local data and/or reports and implications for practice (VI.2)	no discussion of data/reports or implications for practice	 limited discussion of data/reports and implications for practice 	□ thorough discussion of data/reports and implications for practice
	Identified program strengths and challenges. (VI.3-4)	no discussion of program strengths or challenges	limited discussion of program strengths and challenges	□ thorough discussion of program strengths and challenges
	Identified external factors and opportunities for improvement. (IV.5-6)	no discussion of external factors and opportunities for improvement	limited discussion of external factors and opportunities for improvement	thorough discussion of external factors and opportunities for improvement

	5		 limited discussion of how previous goal(s) advanced College Strategic Goals 	□ thorough discussion of how previous goal(s) advanced College Strategic Goals
	For goal status identified as "deleted" or "completed," appropriate rationale was given. (VII.4-5)	□ no rationale given for deletion or completion of goals	-	□ thorough rationale given for deletion or completion of goals
En 20 DB Comprohensive Evaluation (uido			

Sp 20 PR Comprehensive Evaluation duide Approved by Academic Senate: 11/6/19

	action steps or evaluation plans are discussed for all applicable goals	plans are discussed for applicable		For goal status identified as "in progress" or "not started", action steps and evaluation plans are discussed (VII.4-5)	
--	---	------------------------------------	--	--	--

New goals support College Strategic Goals. (VIII.1-3)	5 ()	☐ limited discussion of how new goal(s) advanced College Strategic Goals	 thorough discussion of how new goal(s) advanced College Strategic Goals
New goals informed by assessment results, student achievement data, or other data/rationale provided (VII.4-5)	□ no discussion of rationale/data	☐ limited discussion of rationale/data	☐ thorough discussion of rationale/data
Action steps and evaluation plans are discussed (VII.5-6)	no discussion of action steps or evaluation plans	Iimited discussion of action steps and evaluation plans	thorough discussion of action steps and evaluation plans

TO PROGRAM REVIEW GOALS to server steps a	urce requests are connected vice area goals and action and include details on the ted impact on the program.		□ clear connection to service area goal or action step(s)	
---	---	--	--	--