## PROGRAM REVIEW STEERING COMMITTEE SPRING 2020 ANNUAL UPDATE COMMITTEE FEEDBACK REPORT

DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM:Instructional Technology ServicesAUTHOR:Kerry Kilber Rebman

SECTIONS	Initial	Evolving	Established	Comments
Section I: Program Overview and Update			Х	The department provided a thorough and detailed update on the different areas under this program review, including the various faculty coordinator roles and their current priorities (DE Coordinator, PD Coordinator) and the creation of a
				much-needed 5-year technology replacement plan.

### Section II: Assessment & Student Achievement

A.Student and Program Learning	N/A - The program does not currently
Outcomes Assessment	have any outcomes to assess.
B.Student Achievement	N/A - The program does not have data
	typical of instructional areas, so the
	instructional program review template
	questions are of limited value to this
	department.
C. Distance Education	N/A

Section III: Previous Goals	X	The department provided an update for
		its 4 previous goals, including one that
		was completed and three that are in
		progress. The department included all
		relevant information and provided
		explanations and/or results where
		appropriate.

Section IV: New Goals	Х	The department set three new goals in
		addition to its three previous goals that
		will be carried forward. The department
		provided a thoughtful rationale for the
		first two goals and discussed action steps
		and an evaluation measure. The third
		new goal pertained to a continuity in

#### PROGRAM REVIEW STEERING COMMITTEE SPRING 2020 ANNUAL UPDATE COMMITTEE FEEDBACK REPORT

# Department/Program: Instructional Technical Services

Page 2

SECTIONS	Initial	Evolving	Established	Comments
				services due to an upcoming transition in personnel. This goal could possibly be subsumed under one of the other broad department goals as it focuses just on the need to hire and transition in a replacement for the Instructional Design Specialist.

RESOURCE REQUESTS LINKED TO		
PROGRAM REVIEW GOALS		

**Commendations:** The Committee commends the department on its efforts to build a more sustainable infrastructure for academic computing (e.g., technology replacement plan), course evaluations, and distance education support.

**Recommendations:** The Committee recommends that the ITS department complete an administrative program review next year as the instructional template does not seem to match the department's functions, data available, scope of work, etc. In addition, in light of the department's variety of organizational functions, the Committee recommends the department consider subsuming more granular goals (e.g., New Goal 3 pertaining to the Instructional Design Technology Specialist) under its other, broader, overarching goals.

## Additional Comments: