

**ROC-Ranked Resource Request List (2025-2026)**

Rank	Request	Est. Cost	Requestor	Score	Feedback to Author
1	Adaptive Ergometer	\$7,335	JT Tomaschke	17.6	Excellent proposal. The author thoroughly answered all of the questions and made a strong connection to the goals of the college and incorporated innovation. The connection to the need for supporting students with disabilities was also compelling.
2	Plants that Speak	\$1,200	Michelle Garcia	15.7	Excellent proposal and the author thoroughly answered all of the questions and made a strong connection to the goals of the college and incorporated innovation.
3	College Owned Van Fleet (3 Vans)	\$135,810-\$158,727	Tonie Campbell	15.5	Critical Need: Strong. Addresses transportation constraints that limit participation in off-campus learning and program activities. Program Expansion/Innovation: Strong. Enables expanded experiential learning and community engagement opportunities. OFFER TO SHARE IS GREAT. Impact on Student Success/Access: Moderate. Benefits participating students but impact is program-dependent. Equity & Antiracism: Moderate. May reduce transportation barriers for low-income students, though equity impact is not structurally central.
4	New Student-Centered Furniture for C-222	\$55,000	Matthew Chase	15.2	Strong proposal and the author thoroughly answered all of the questions and made a strong connection to the goals of the college and incorporated innovation. The connection to the need for supporting students with disabilities was also compelling.
5	F Building Classroom Furniture	\$7,334	Courtney Hammond	15	Well developed proposal. Answers all the questions. Makes a strong case for why new furniture is needed. Also connects back to improved student outcomes.
6	STEM Library Materials	\$18,684	Matthew Chase	14.6	Strong proposal and the author thoroughly answered all of the questions and made a strong connection to the goals of the college and incorporated innovation. Well written and researched. Costs were clear and compelling rationale for investment in this type of student support.
7	Two-Year Funding to Support Ongoing Math Dept COP and Mentorship	\$60,000	Rachel Polakoski	14.4	There is strong awareness on campus of the success of the math redesign work here at the college. This work is strongly aligned to the goals of the college. This proposal also has a strong component of innovation for instruction. It is important for the author to use as many supporting details in the work that has already been done. ROC did not see outcome goals for the investment of this magnitude. That would have strengthened the proposal.
8	Anatomy Models	\$5,776	Mary Graham	13.6	The author cites student outcome data which justifies the expense of the anatomy models. This is an item that is relatively low cost with a long-life. The cost overall is reasonable for a boost in student outcomes. The equipment can be maintained effectively in the tutoring center. I appreciate the effort in gathering the accurate costs and thinking through the cost of ownership.
9	Active Learning Furniture	\$23,276	Morian Gonzalez-Meeks	13.2	Clear need for updated furniture to enable more effective classroom environments. Though the current furniture is clearly very dated, might be helpful if the current setup was described more to illustrate the difference in usage capabilities compared with the requested furniture in case someone isn't familiar with what is already there.
11	Library Campus Bookmobile Service	\$15,864	Matthew Chase	13	The Committee likes the innovation in the proposal. Questions that came up but were not clearly articulated in the proposal: If the people are not coming to the library, bring the library to the people! Is there any data that would support the idea? Are there other campuses that have implemented this type of service? Was it successful? Have we polled students to know if this is a need? Is there any data that helps us understand why more students don't use the physical library space? All of this discussion of the topic to help the committee better understand the need would have helped us in ranking this request higher.
12	Gaming Collection	\$1,071	Matthew Chase	8.6	Difficult to rank based on the rubric. Unclear how it might contribute to student success.

Finalized by the Resource & Operations Council: February 18, 2026

Presented to Classified Senate:

Presented to Academic Senate: 1st Read: 2nd Read

Presented to Cuyamaca College Council: 1st Read: 2nd Read: